

Sperm Capacitation ^[1]

By: Ruffenach, Stephen Keywords: [Sperm](#) ^[2] [Ova](#) ^[3] [Fertilization](#) ^[4]

Sperm capacitation refers to the physiological changes [spermatozoa](#) ^[5] must undergo in order to have the ability to penetrate and fertilize an [egg](#) ^[6]. This term was first coined in 1952 by Colin Russell Austin based on independent studies conducted by Austin and Min Chueh Chang and published in 1951. Since the initial reports and emergence of the term, the details of the process have been elucidated due to technological advancements. Recognition of the phenomenon was quite important to early [in vitro](#) ^[7] [fertilization](#) ^[8] experiments as well as to the fields of [embryology](#) ^[9] and [reproductive biology](#) ^[10].

These initial studies involved introducing [sperm](#) ^[11] into the [fallopian tubes](#) ^[12] of females of various animal species both hours before and immediately after [ovulation](#) ^[13]. The experiments revealed that many more eggs were penetrated by [sperm](#) ^[11] when the [sperm](#) ^[11] was introduced hours before [ovulation](#) ^[13]. Based on their initial findings, both Austin and Chang hypothesized that the [sperm](#) ^[11] must need to go through some sort of physiological process in the female reproductive tract in order to have the capacity to penetrate the [egg](#) ^[6]. Austin later referred to this process as capacitation in an issue of *Nature* published on 23 August 1952. His original use of the term capacitation referred to any physiological processes undergone by [sperm](#) ^[11] while in the female reproductive tract that allowed the [sperm](#) ^[11] to then penetrate an [egg](#) ^[6]. As technology advanced and knowledge of the mechanisms of [fertilization](#) ^[8] increased, this definition was updated for modern use.

[J. Michael Bedford](#) ^[14] published an in-depth study of [sperm capacitation](#) ^[15] in mammals in 1970 and was able to narrow down what the process entails by revealing what does not occur. In Bedford's studies an [electron microscope](#) ^[16] was used to reveal what, if any, structural changes occurred in [sperm](#) ^[11] after capacitation, but none were found. Bedford reported that the [sperm](#) ^[11] remain in the same morphological state from the time they are introduced into the female to the time they undergo the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17], the point at which the [sperm](#) ^[11] fuses with the [egg](#) ^[6]. He reasoned that while structural changes were not occurring to the [sperm](#) ^[11], it was more likely that some sort of chemical change was underway. Based on evidence available at the time, the conclusion was that the events of capacitation consisted of the unmasking of receptors on the [sperm](#) ^[11] itself, rendering it capable of reacting to the environment of the female genital tract. He also researched [sperm capacitation](#) ^[15] among different species, finding that the time it took for [sperm](#) ^[11] to acquire the capacity to penetrate an [egg](#) ^[6] as well as the chemicals that were recognized by the aforementioned receptors were species-specific. Further, because there are certain species in other phyla that ejaculate [sperm](#) ^[11] immediately capable of completing the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17], it would be inaccurate to include the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17] as a part of capacitation.

Given Austin's broad initial definition, many contemporaries of Bedford contended that the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17] could technically be considered part of [sperm capacitation](#) ^[15]. The discrepancy in the definition, particularly regarding the inclusion of the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17] as part of capacitation, resulted in a great deal of discussion and argument among scientists in later publications. In 1975, Austin published a report entitled "Membrane Fusion Events in Fertilization," which contended that the actual process of capacitation consisted of removal of the glycoprotein coat on the [sperm](#) ^[11] and facilitation of [hyperactivation](#) ^[18] or improved motility, thus clarifying that he considered initiation of the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17] to be separate from [sperm capacitation](#) ^[15]. Eventually, in an effort to clarify what processes should be included in the definition of [sperm capacitation](#) ^[15], Min Chueh Chang published an article in 1984 with an historical perspective on the meaning of [sperm capacitation](#) ^[15] that included a suggestion for dealing with the discrepancy in the definition. In that article, Chang suggests that all processes leading up the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17] should be referred to as the first part of [sperm capacitation](#) ^[15] in order to both honor the original definition while still recognizing the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17] as an independent process. Given this attempt at clarification, more modern studies involving capacitation define it as the [sperm](#) ^[11] cell's need to uncover receptors that may recognize the chemicals in the female reproductive tract that in turn initiate changes in both motility in the form of [hyperactivation](#) ^[18] as well as the morphological changes involved in the [acrosome reaction](#) ^[17].

Despite confusion and some discrepancy in the study of capacitation, the concept remains integral to the study of [fertilization](#) ^[8]. Its exploration has provided a more comprehensive understanding of all the mechanisms a [sperm](#) ^[11] cell must undergo before it is ready to fertilize a female [egg](#) ^[6], adding to the general knowledge of the process of [fertilization](#) ^[8] as a whole as well as contributing to medical technologies such as [in vitro](#) ^[7] [fertilization](#) ^[8].

Sources

1. Austin, Colin Russell. "The 'Capacitation' of the Mammalian Sperm." *Nature* 170 (1952): 326.
2. Austin, Collin Russell, and M.W.H. Bishop. "Capacitation of Mammalian Spermatozoa." *Nature* 4612 (1958): 851.
3. Bedford, J.M. "Sperm Capacitation and Fertilization in Mammals." *Biology of Reproduction*, Supplement 2 (1970): 128–58.
4. Chang, Min Chueh. "The Meaning of Sperm Capacitation." *Journal of Andrology* 5 (1984): 45–50.
5. Evans, Janice P., Daniel M. Hardy, and Bayard T. Storey. "Sperm-Egg Interactions: Sperm-Egg Binding in Mammals." *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences*. <http://mrw.interscience.wiley.com/emrw/9780470015902/search/firstpage>^[19] (Accessed March 3rd, 2008)

Sperm capacitation refers to the physiological changes spermatozoa must undergo in order to have the ability to penetrate and fertilize an egg. This term was first coined in 1952 by Colin Russell Austin based on independent studies conducted by both Austin himself as well as Min Chueh Chang in 1951. Since the initial reports and emergence of the term, the details of the process have been more clearly elucidated due to technological advancements. The recognition of the phenomenon was quite important to early in vitro fertilization experiments as well as the continued understanding of embryology and reproductive biology.

Subject

[Sperm Capacitation](#)^[20]

Topic

[Processes](#)^[21]

Publisher

Arizona State University. School of Life Sciences. Center for Biology and Society. Embryo Project Encyclopedia.

Rights

© Arizona Board of Regents Licensed as Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0) <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Format

[Articles](#)^[22]

Last Modified

Wednesday, July 4, 2018 - 04:40

DC Date Accessioned

Thursday, May 10, 2012 - 14:01

DC Date Available

Thursday, May 10, 2012 - 14:01

DC Date Created

2009-07-07

DC Date Created Standard

Tuesday, July 7, 2009 - 07:00

- [Contact Us](#)

© 2019 Arizona Board of Regents

- The Embryo Project at Arizona State University, 1711 South Rural Road, Tempe Arizona 85287, United States

Source URL: <https://embryo.asu.edu/pages/sperm-capacitation>

Links

[1] <https://embryo.asu.edu/pages/sperm-capacitation>

[2] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/sperm>

[3] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/ova>

- [4] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/fertilization>
- [5] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=spermatozoa>
- [6] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=egg>
- [7] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=in%20vitro>
- [8] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=fertilization>
- [9] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=embryology>
- [10] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=reproductive%20biology>
- [11] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=sperm>
- [12] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=fallopian%20tubes>
- [13] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=ovulation>
- [14] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=J.%20Michael%20Bedford>
- [15] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=sperm%20capacitation>
- [16] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=electron%20microscope>
- [17] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=acrosome%20reaction>
- [18] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=hyperactivation>
- [19] <http://mrw.interscience.wiley.com/emrw/9780470015902/search/firstpage>
- [20] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/sperm-capacitation>
- [21] <https://embryo.asu.edu/topics/processes>
- [22] <https://embryo.asu.edu/formats/articles>