

Fetal Programming ^[1]

By: Keller, Carrie Keywords: [fetal origins hypothesis](#) ^[2] [thrifty phenotypes hypothesis](#) ^[3] [fetal adaptations](#) ^[4] [anxiety during pregnancy](#) ^[5]

Fetal programming, or prenatal programming, is a concept that suggests certain events occurring during critical points of [pregnancy](#) ^[6] may cause permanent effects on the [fetus](#) ^[7] and the infant long after birth. The concept of fetal programming stemmed from the fetal origins hypothesis, also known as Barker's hypothesis, that David Barker proposed in 1995 at the University of Southampton in Southampton, England. The fetal origins hypothesis states that undernutrition in the [womb](#) ^[8] during middle to late [pregnancy](#) ^[6] causes improper fetal growth, which in turn, causes a predisposition to certain diseases in adulthood. In addition to nutritional impacts, researchers have studied the effects of fetal programming on many factors, such as maternal anxiety or violence during [pregnancy](#) ^[6]. Researchers proposing the concept of fetal programming established a new area of research into the developmental causes of disease, pointing towards the *in utero* environment and its critical role in healthy human development.

While most traits are passed down from parents to offspring genetically, researchers studying fetal programming investigate the possibility that the development of disease later in life may be caused in part by environmental factors during [pregnancy](#) ^[6] rather than genetic processes. Generally, an individual inherits traits from her parents through the passing on of [genes](#) ^[9], or units of heredity coding for specific traits. That genetic process explains why offspring have similar traits as their parents and describes how most traits are determined. However, an individual's environment also plays a significant role in the development of traits, as the development of nearly all diseases are determined by the way that an individual's genetics and environment interact.

Environmental factors fall under the category of [epigenetics](#) ^[10], which is when [genes](#) ^[9] are expressed differently without any change to the DNA sequence itself. For example, the coloring of Siamese cats' fur is temperature-sensitive. Since a cat's paws, nose, and tail are generally a cooler temperature than the rest of its body, a Siamese cat with temperature-sensitive fur has darker fur in those areas. While all areas of the cat's body carry the same [genes](#) ^[9] for fur color, those areas are darker due to temperature differences throughout the body. Researchers studying fetal programming suggested that the development of diseases may be the result of similar factors, pointing toward environmental rather than genetic effects. Specifically, fetal programming researchers look at the *in utero* environment, which is where a [fetus](#) ^[7] grows and develops within a pregnant woman's [uterus](#) ^[11]. Since [pregnancy](#) ^[6] is a critical period of development for many behavioral and developmental processes, researchers studying fetal programming demonstrate the significance of that early environment and its potential effects on later development.

Before Barker defined the fetal origins hypothesis in 1995, scientists attributed the importance of the *in utero* environment on later development in other ways. For example, research on the effects of a stressful *in utero* environment said to have resulted from poor maternal emotional health surfaced in the 1960s and early 1970s. Then, in 1981, Thomas Verny, a medical specialist who studied the diagnosis and treatment of certain mental illnesses, published *The Secret Life of the Unborn Child* in which he developed the idea that a stressful *in utero* environment could have lasting impacts on a fetus's psychological, emotional, and physical development. Around that time, some scientists contended that fetuses could feel, perceive, and react to their environments while in the [womb](#) ^[8], typically during the later stages of development. However, as of 2020, there was not a clear consensus on a fetus's capacity to experience those things in a profound way.

In 1989, in "Weight In Infancy and Death From Ischemic Heart Disease," Barker and colleagues provided support that environmental influences may affect growth and development during early life and cause predisposition to certain diseases later in life. Specifically, Barker and colleagues looked at the correlation between birthweight and the eventual development of ischemic heart disease, a condition where not enough blood and oxygen reach the heart. In the article, the authors reported on an experiment during which they kept track of birthweights, weight at one year postpartum, and death by ischemic heart disease for nearly 6000 men throughout Hertfordshire, England. They stated that they recorded data only from men because men are more likely to die from ischemic heart disease and are more easily traceable since their last names do not change. They reported that the men who had had the lowest birth weights and lowest weights at one year postpartum experienced the highest death rates from ischemic heart disease.

However, in the same study, the authors pointed out that the known causes of ischemic heart disease at the time did not explain why the prevalence of the disease was highest among the lowest income groups throughout England. Since Barker and colleagues contended the lowest income groups would be less likely to afford proper nutrition for their growing offspring, they looked towards developmental and early growth delays as a cause for ischemic heart disease. Building on those findings, in 1990 Barker published another article further advancing the concept of fetal programming, titled "The Fetal and Infant Origins of Adult Disease." There, he noted that areas throughout England with high rates of infant mortality also had low neonatal birth weights and poorer overall health among pregnant women. Based on that finding, he suggested that the *in utero* environment was important to look at when studying the origins of disease.

In 1992, in their paper "Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: The Thrifty Phenotype Hypothesis," Barker and Nicholas Hales furthered the concept of fetal programming with the thrifty phenotype hypothesis. The thrifty phenotype hypothesis states that reduced fetal growth in the [womb](#) ^[8] is strongly associated with the development of a number of chronic conditions later in life. At the time, Hales worked at [Cambridge University](#) ^[12] in Cambridge, England, studying diabetes. Together, Barker and Hales studied the potential relationship between the causes and effects of type 2 diabetes and poor fetal and early postnatal nutrition. Type 2 diabetes is a chronic condition that inhibits the body from metabolizing sugar properly due to either resistance to or a lack of insulin, which is a [hormone](#) ^[13] that regulates the movement of sugar as a source of energy into cells. Since most cells that produce insulin later in life are produced during [gestation](#) ^[14], Hales and Barker hypothesized that fetal undernutrition *in utero* could be related to the development of type 2 diabetes. Thus, they pointed towards fetal origins for the development of the disease.

In 1995, Barker directly proposed the fetal origins hypothesis in "Fetal Origins of Coronary Heart Disease." Many researchers who have since studied fetal programming cite that specific paper outlining Barker's hypothesis. At the time of the paper's publication, animal studies had shown fetal undernutrition during [pregnancy](#) ^[6] to cause permanent biological changes for those animals after birth and later in life. Also at that time, as described in Barker's 1989 article, studies in [humans](#) ^[15] had shown that individuals with lower birth weights were more likely to develop certain diseases later in life, particularly heart disease. In addition, eventual heart disease diagnoses were more common among fetuses that were abnormally small in comparison to the [placenta](#) ^[16], which is an organ developed during [pregnancy](#) ^[6] in the [womb](#) ^[8] that helps nourish the [fetus](#) ^[7]. In conjunction with the animal studies revealing the permanent effects of fetal programming, those human associations gave rise to Barker's hypothesis.

In 2001, Barker and Keith Godfrey expanded on the ideas outlined in Barker's previous articles with "Fetal Programming and Adult Health," in which they attempted to describe the processes underlying fetal programming. In the article, the authors stated that fetal programming may be the result of fetal adaptation to the *in utero* environment, particularly maternal nutrition. However, while the biological adaptations made in response to the stressful *in utero*

environment may have been useful in the [womb](#)^[6], their permanent effects on the [fetus](#)^[7] may cause predisposition to disease later in life. For example, Barker and Godfrey described a potential mechanism explaining the association between low birth weights and the later development of hypertension, or abnormally high blood pressure. They explained that a low birthweight, which they theorized was caused by undernutrition in the [womb](#)^[6], may cause a child to grow at an accelerated rate later in childhood in an effort to theoretically catch up with peers. Since blood pressure rises with age and growth, the authors propose that the accelerated growth spurt could potentially cause elevated blood pressure throughout the individual's life. Through that process, low birthweight would program for hypertension.

Many researchers have further studied the effects of fetal programming and attempted to identify the exact mechanisms underlying the process. For example, in 2009, researchers led by John Henderson at the University of Bristol in Bristol, England, reported an association between maternal anxiety during [pregnancy](#)^[6] and the later development of asthma in their offspring. In 2011, other researchers led by Sarah McMahon at Rutgers University in New Brunswick, New Jersey, studied the effects of violence during [pregnancy](#)^[6] on childhood development. The authors proposed that, when exposed to violence *in utero*, the offspring had poorer overall health, more difficult temperament, and increased likelihood of depression.

Other researchers have proposed mechanisms describing how fetal programming works. For example, researchers Amanda Drake and Brian Walker from the [University of Edinburgh](#)^[17] in Edinburgh, Scotland, described the process of fetal programming as a cycle that persists throughout generations due to a persisting stressful *in utero* environment caused by the permanently programmed effects. Another researcher working at the Imperial College in London, England, Vivette Glover, described fetal programming as evolutionary and stated that its effects may have actually been useful in primitive times. She asserts that that is because the stressful *in utero* environment may have served to prepare the [fetus](#)^[7] for the environment in which the [fetus](#)^[7] would be born. For example, she claimed that the development of anxiety, which is increased by exposure to prenatal stress, may have been useful for sensing and avoiding threats during primitive times, making fetal programming evolutionarily advantageous.

Nonetheless, according to researcher David A. Leon, some scientists were initially skeptical of some of the first fetal programming hypotheses, but researchers have progressively expanded and supported those hypotheses through both animal and human studies. Some scientists have argued that in studying the lifelong effects of fetal programming, researchers should consider postnatal factors as well, such as events that occur during early childhood. Other scientists have stressed that while some researchers have thoroughly established associations between low birth weight and the development of chronic diseases, it remains unknown if those relationships are causal. Those scientists state that too little is known about the role of maternal nutrition during [pregnancy](#)^[6] to suggest fetal programming interventions. However, as of 2020, researchers have shown that events occurring during [pregnancy](#)^[6] may have significant effects for lifelong health and provided insights into the mechanisms involved. Economists have even endorsed and provided further evidence for the fetal origins hypothesis, studying fetal programming effects related to education level and income.

The concept of fetal programming has established an entirely new area of research into the developmental causes of disease. The resulting fetal programming research has revealed the significance of the *in utero* environment and the lasting health effects that events occurring during [pregnancy](#)^[6] may have on a developing [fetus](#)^[7]. By indicating those factors in the study of disease development, the concept of fetal programming has encouraged research on preventative programming practices to promote healthier pregnancies and children.

Sources

1. Almond, Douglas, and Janet Currie. "Killing Me Softly: The Fetal Origins Hypothesis." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 25 (2011): 153–72. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4140221/>^[18] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
2. American Heart Association. "Silent Ischemia and Ischemic Heart Disease." American Heart Association. <https://www.heart.org/en/health-topics/heart-attack/about-heart-attacks/silent-ischemia-and-ischemic-heart-disease>^[19] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
3. Barker, David JP, Clive Osmond, P. D. Winter, Barrie Margetts, and Shirley J. Simmonds. "Weight^[20] in Infancy and Death from Ischemic Heart Disease." *The Lancet* 334 (1989): 577–80.
4. Barker, David JP. "Fetal Origins of Coronary Heart Disease." *British Medical Journal* 311 (1995): 171–4. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2550226/>^[21] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
5. Barker, David JP. "The Fetal and Infant Origins of Adult Disease." *British Medical Journal* 301 (1990): 1111. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1664286/?page=1>^[22] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "What is Epidemiology?" Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/careerpaths/k12teacherroadmap/epidemiology.html>^[23] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
7. Cookson, Hannah, Raquel Granell, Carol Joinson, Yoav Ben-Shlomo, and A. John Henderson. "Mothers' Anxiety During Pregnancy is Associated with Asthma in their Children." *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 123 (2009): 847–53. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2726292/>^[24] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
8. Dietz, William H. "Critical Periods in Childhood for the Development of Obesity." *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 59 (1994): 955–9.
9. Drake, A. J., and B. R. Walker. "The Intergenerational Effects of Fetal Programming: Non-Genomic Mechanisms for the Inheritance of Low Birth Weight^[20] and Cardiovascular Risk." *Journal of Endocrinology* 180 (2004): 1–16. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/8931374_The_intergenerational_effects_of_fetal_programming_Non-genomic_mechanisms_for_the_inheritance_of_low_birth_weight_and_cardiovascular_risk^[25] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
10. Eriksson, Johan G. "The Fetal Origins Hypothesis—10 years on." *British Medical Journal* 330 (2005): 1096–7. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC557875/>^[26] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
11. Glover, Vivette. "Annual Research Review: Prenatal Stress and the Origins of Psychopathology: An Evolutionary Perspective." *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 52 (2011): 356–67.
12. Godfrey, Keith M., and David JP Barker. "Fetal Programming and Adult Health." *Public Health Nutrition* 4 (2001): 611–24.
13. Hales, C. Nicholas, and David JP Barker. "Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) Diabetes mellitus: the thrifty phenotype hypothesis." *Diabetologia* 35 (1992): 595–601. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00400248>^[27] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
14. Leon, David A. "Commentary: Getting to Grips with Fetal Programming—Aspects of a Rapidly Evolving Agenda." *International Journal of Epidemiology* 30 (2001): 96–8. <https://academic.oup.com/ije/article/30/1/96/619045>^[28] (Accessed August 17, 2020).
15. Marks, Sarah. "Siamese Cat Science!" NC DNA Day, 2016. <http://ncdnaday.org/2016/08/siamese-cat-science/>^[29] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
16. Mayo Clinic Staff. "Type 2 Diabetes." Mayo Clinic. <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/type-2-diabetes/symptoms-causes/syc-20351193>^[30] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
17. McMahon, Sarah, Chien-Chung Huang, Paul Boxer, and Judy L. Postmus. "The Impact of Emotional and Physical Violence During Pregnancy on Maternal and Child Health at One Year Post-partum." *Children and Youth Services Review* 33 (2011): 2103–11.
18. [National Institutes of Health](#)^[31]. "What Is a Gene? – Genetics Home Reference - NIH." U.S. National Library of Medicine. <https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/primer/basics/gene>^[32] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
19. [National Institutes of Health](#)^[31]. "What Is Epigenetics? – Genetics Home Reference - NIH." U.S. National Library of Medicine.

- <https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/primer/howgeneswork/epigenome> ^[33] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
20. Pincock, Stephen. "Charles Nicholas Hales." *The Lancet* 366 (2005): 1690. [https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lancet/PIIS0140-6736\(05\)67685-4.pdf](https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lancet/PIIS0140-6736(05)67685-4.pdf) ^[34] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
 21. Rasmussen, Kathleen Maher. "The "Fetal Origins" Hypothesis: Challenges and Opportunities For Maternal and Child Nutrition." *Annual Review of Nutrition* 21 (2001): 73–95. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/11963839_The_Fetal_Origins_Hypothesis_Challenges_and_Opportunities_for_Maternal_and_Child_Nutrition ^[35] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
 22. Vaag, A. A., L. G. Grunnet, G. P. Arora, and C. Brøns. "The Thrifty Phenotype Hypothesis Revisited." *Diabetologia* 55 (2012): 2085–8. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3390698/> ^[36] (Accessed January 9, 2020).
 23. Verny, Thomas Raphael, and John Kelly. *The Secret Life of the Unborn Child*. New York City: Dell, 1988.

Fetal programming, or prenatal programming, is a concept that suggests certain events occurring during critical points of pregnancy may cause permanent effects on the fetus and the infant long after birth. The concept of fetal programming stemmed from the fetal origins hypothesis, also known as Barker's hypothesis, that David Barker proposed in 1995 at the University of Southampton in Southampton, England. The fetal origins hypothesis states that undernutrition in the womb during middle to late pregnancy causes improper fetal growth, which in turn, causes a predisposition to certain diseases in adulthood. In addition to nutritional impacts, researchers have studied the fetal programming effects of many factors, such as maternal anxiety or violence during pregnancy. Researchers proposing the concept of fetal programming established a new area of research into the developmental causes of disease, pointing towards the in utero environment and its critical role in healthy human development.

Subject

[Diabetes in pregnancy](#) ^[37] [Maternal-fetal exchange](#) ^[38] [Metabolism in pregnancy](#) ^[39] [Maternal-fetal medicine](#) ^[40] [Maternal nutrition](#) ^[41] [Maternal weight gain](#) ^[42] [Fetal surveillance](#) ^[43] [Prenatal malnutrition](#) ^[44] [Fetus--Psychology](#) ^[45] [Fetal growth disorders](#) ^[46] [Fetal Programming](#) ^[47] [Embryonic and Fetal Development](#) ^[48] [Prenatal Programming](#) ^[49] [Embryo and Fetal Development](#) ^[50] [Fetal Growth](#) ^[51] [Fetal Development](#) ^[52] [Fetal Therapies](#) ^[53] [Fetal Nutrition Disorders](#) ^[54] [Fetal Malnutrition](#) ^[55] [Fetal Organ Maturity](#) ^[56] [Fetal Mortality](#) ^[57] [Fetal Maturity, Chronologic](#) ^[58] [Body Weight, Fetal](#) ^[59] [Fetal Weight](#) ^[60] [Heart Diseases](#) ^[61] [Myocardial Ischemia](#) ^[62] [Ischemic Heart Disease](#) ^[63] [Gestational Diabetes](#) ^[64]

Topic

[Processes](#) ^[65] [Theories](#) ^[66] [Reproduction](#) ^[67]

Publisher

Arizona State University. School of Life Sciences. Center for Biology and Society. Embryo Project Encyclopedia.

Rights

Copyright Arizona Board of Regents Licensed as Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0) <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Format

[Articles](#) ^[68]

Last Modified

Tuesday, November 3, 2020 - 23:42

DC Date Accessioned

Tuesday, November 3, 2020 - 23:31

DC Date Available

Tuesday, November 3, 2020 - 23:31

DC Date Created

2020-11-03

- [Contact Us](#)

© 2021 Arizona Board of Regents

- The Embryo Project at Arizona State University, 1711 South Rural Road, Tempe Arizona 85287, United States

Source URL: <https://embryo.asu.edu/pages/fetal-programming>

Links

- [1] <https://embryo.asu.edu/pages/fetal-programming>
- [2] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/fetal-origins-hypothesis>
- [3] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/thrifty-phenotypes-hypothesis>
- [4] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/fetal-adaptations>
- [5] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/anxiety-during-pregnancy>
- [6] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=pregnancy>
- [7] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=fetus>
- [8] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=womb>
- [9] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=genes>
- [10] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=epigenetics>
- [11] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=uterus>
- [12] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Cambridge%20University>
- [13] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=hormone>
- [14] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=gestation>
- [15] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=humans>

- [16] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=placenta>
- [17] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=University%20of%20Edinburgh>
- [18] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4140221/>
- [19] <https://www.heart.org/en/health-topics/heart-attack/about-heart-attacks/silent-ischemia-and-ischemic-heart-disease>
- [20] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Weight>
- [21] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2550226/>
- [22] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1664286/?page=1>
- [23] <https://www.cdc.gov/careerpaths/k12teacherroadmap/epidemiology.html>
- [24] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2726292/>
- [25] https://www.researchgate.net/publication/8931374_The_intergenerational_effects_of_fetal_programming_Non-genomic_mechanisms_for_the_inheritance_of_low_birth_weight_and_cardiovascular_risk
- [26] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC557875/>
- [27] <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00400248>
- [28] <https://academic.oup.com/ije/article/30/1/96/619045>
- [29] <http://ncdnaday.org/2016/08/siamese-cat-science/>
- [30] <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/type-2-diabetes/symptoms-causes/syc-20351193>
- [31] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=National%20Institutes%20of%20Health>
- [32] <https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/primer/basics/gene>
- [33] <https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/primer/howgeneswork/epigenome>
- [34] [https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lancet/PIIS0140-6736\(05\)67685-4.pdf](https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/lancet/PIIS0140-6736(05)67685-4.pdf)
- [35] https://www.researchgate.net/publication/11963839_The_Fetal_Origins_Hypothesis_Challenges_and_Opportunities_for_Maternal_and_Child_Nutrition
- [36] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3390698/>
- [37] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/diabetes-pregnancy>
- [38] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/maternal-fetal-exchange>
- [39] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/metabolism-pregnancy>
- [40] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/maternal-fetal-medicine>
- [41] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/maternal-nutrition>
- [42] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/maternal-weight-gain>
- [43] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/fetal-surveillance>
- [44] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/prenatal-malnutrition>
- [45] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/fetus-psychology>
- [46] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/fetal-growth-disorders>
- [47] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-programming>
- [48] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/embryonic-and-fetal-development>
- [49] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/prenatal-programming>
- [50] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/embryo-and-fetal-development>
- [51] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-growth>
- [52] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-development>
- [53] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-therapies>
- [54] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-nutrition-disorders>
- [55] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-malnutrition>
- [56] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-organ-maturity>
- [57] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-mortality>
- [58] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-maturity-chronologic>
- [59] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/body-weight-fetal>
- [60] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/fetal-weight>
- [61] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/heart-diseases>
- [62] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/myocardial-ischemia>
- [63] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/ischemic-heart-disease>
- [64] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/gestational-diabetes>
- [65] <https://embryo.asu.edu/topics/processes>
- [66] <https://embryo.asu.edu/topics/theories>
- [67] <https://embryo.asu.edu/topics/reproduction>
- [68] <https://embryo.asu.edu/formats/articles>