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In 1952 Virginia Apgar, a physician at the Sloane Women's Hospital in New York City, New York, created the Apgar score as a method of evaluating newborn infants' health to determine if they required medical intervention. The score included five separate categories, including heart rate, breathing rate, reaction to stimuli, muscle activity, and color. An infant received a score from zero to two in each category, and those scores added up to the infant's total score out of ten. An infant with a score of ten was healthy, and those with low scores required medical attention at birth. Apgar originally used the score to determine how infants responded to the pain-relieving drugs given to pregnant women during labor. The Apgar score also served to determine when the infant required medical assistance, especially oxygen resuscitation. As of 2017, nearly every hospital in the world uses an updated Apgar score to evaluate the health of newborn infants. The Apgar score has allowed for medical personnel to evaluate an infant directly after birth on an objective scale to determine whether that infant could benefit from possibly life-saving medical intervention.

Apgar first created the score in 1952 while working at the Sloane Women's Hospital, part of the Presbyterian Hospital in New York City, New York. According to her first report on the scoring system, Apgar wanted to use a simple, clear, objective evaluation method for newborn infants to better see the effects on infants of different kinds of pain-relieving drugs used on pregnant women during labor. Because the infant and the pregnant woman share a blood supply during birth, drugs given to the pregnant woman can also have effects on the infant. Apgar was particularly interested in those effects as she was the anesthesiologist responsible for administering pain-relieving drugs to pregnant women. She and her fellow physicians evaluated over 2,000 infants as a data set for their initial publication in 1953. In that publication, Apgar described the five categories and the two-point scoring system that comprises the Apgar score, along with the criteria used to award points.

The original Apgar score required a nurse or physician to evaluate a newborn infant sixty seconds after birth. Five separate categories made up the score. Those categories included heart rate, breathing rate, reaction to stimuli, muscle activity, and color. Depending on how the infant performed in each category, it received zero points, one point, or two points. A score of zero in a category meant that life signs were not present in the infant. A score of one meant that signs were weakly present. A score of two meant that signs were optimally present in the infant. An infant in perfect condition received a score of ten comprised of scores of two across all five categories. Under the Apgar score, infants scoring eight, nine, or ten were considered in excellent condition. Infants scoring five, six, or seven were considered in moderate condition. Infants scoring four or below were considered in poor condition and required medical intervention to survive. Medical intervention was usually oxygen resuscitation for infants not breathing well.

Each of the five categories in the Apgar score evaluated different measures of health. For the first category, heart rate, a nurse or physician measured the infant's pulse. Normal infant heart rate is anything between seventy and 190 beats per minute. When an infant's heart rate is too low, their hearts are not pumping blood fast enough to adequately oxygenate their body. For the Apgar score, if there was no heartbeat, the infant received a score of zero. If the heart rate was less than one hundred beats per minute, the infant received a score of one. If the heart rate exceeded one hundred beats per minute, the infant received a score of two.

For the second category, breathing rate, a nurse or physician monitored the infant's breathing sixty seconds after birth. If the infant was not breathing, the infant received a score of zero. Those infants not breathing were resuscitated, or the practitioner administered oxygen directly to infants to help them breathe, usually via a mask placed over their faces. If the infant took slow or irregular breaths or had weak cries, the infant received a score of one. Those infants sometimes received oxygen resuscitation as well. If the infant cried loudly, the infant received a score of two and did not receive medical intervention.

For the third category, reaction to stimuli, a nurse or physician made the infant react to something, anything from a puff of air to the face to a gentle pinch. For Apgar’s original purpose of testing how pain-relieving drugs affected newborn infants, reaction to stimuli served as a judge of infant activity. More activity meant that the infants were less affected by the drugs. For the Apgar score, if the infant did not react to stimuli, the infant received a score of zero. If the infant grimaced, the infant received a score of one. If the infant grimaced and coughed, sneezed, or cried loudly, the infant received a score of two.

For the fourth part of the Apgar score, muscle activity, a nurse or physician observed how the infant moved its arms and legs. Apgar’s reason for a muscle activity score mirrored her reasoning for the reaction to stimuli score, to measure the impact of drugs administered during delivery. According to Apgar, infants less affected by maternal pain-relieving drugs had better muscle tone. If
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variety of people could perform the evaluation. Whoever was in charge of the infant at sixty seconds assigned the score without

interrupting any other procedure. People who could assign infants the Apgar score included obstetricians, pediatricians, medical

students, nurses, or others present in the delivery room. Some medical clinics had different birthing procedures, which required

some adjustments to the scoring method. In medical clinics where physicians did not clamp the umbilical cord as fast as others,

participants saw that infants whose scores fell below four were in poor health and needed medical assistance. Therefore, they

used the score of four as the cut-off for providing oxygen to the infants, meaning any infant scoring four or below received

additional oxygen.

Additionally, the researchers confirmed that nurses should score infants sixty seconds after the birth and again at five minutes

after birth for all infants, especially to see if infants with low scores improved as a result of administered oxygen. They stated that

scores that started low and stayed low for fifteen minutes after birth, even with medical attention, indicated that an infant’s

chances of surviving were not good. Monitoring the score of a low-scoring infant after birth helped medical personnel see if the

infant’s condition was improving as a result of their efforts. As of 2017, five minutes after birth is the second time a nurse or

physician tests an infant for an Apgar score. For infants scoring below seven, medical personnel re-evaluate the infants every five

minutes for twenty minutes after birth.

Finally, the second report on the Apgar score stated that not all of the five criteria were equally important. Of the five, heart rate

and breathing rate were most important, followed by muscle activity and response to stimuli. Color, as Apgar noted in her original

publication, proved least important and least informative about the infant’s condition.

The over 15,000 infant birth study and the subsequent article that described the study spread the Apgar score to other hospitals

and clinics while proving its effectiveness in predicting the condition of infants after birth. With the Apgar score, not only could

medical personnel evaluate an infant’s condition and predict whether it would survive the minutes after birth, but they could also

intervene to save the infant’s life, usually with oxygen resuscitation. In the 1950s, before and in the early days of the Apgar score,

one in thirty infants died at birth. Many of those infants were born premature or with birth defects and so nurses and physicians

set them aside as too sick to survive and did not give them medical attention to improve their condition. With the Apgar score,

nurses and physicians could determine the infant’s condition at sixty seconds and then provide treatment, be it oxygen or

something else. At five minutes after birth, medical personnel evaluated the infant again, and most infants improved drastically by

that point. In the early twenty-first century, because of the Apgar score and the advances in neonatal intensive care, only one in

five hundred infants die at birth in the US.

As of 2017, nurses and physicians in hospitals around the world use the Apgar score to evaluate newborn infants and determine

whether they need to provide medical treatment. The 2015 Apgar score guidelines encourage medical intervention for any infant
with a score lower than seven, as it indicates that the infant is not adjusting to conditions outside the pregnant woman’s womb very well. Most commonly, the medical intervention takes the form of providing additional oxygen, clearing out the infant’s airways, or stimulating the heart so it beats properly. According to the National Library of Medicine, most low scores measured at sixty seconds are in the normal range by the time a second score is assigned at five minutes. The Apgar score does not, however, predict the long-term health of the infant. Researchers have done studies to link an infant’s Apgar score to its long term health and development, and as of 2017 no study has shown that such a link exists.
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In 1952 Virginia Apgar, a physician at the Sloane Women’s Hospital in New York City, New York, created the Apgar score as a method of evaluating newborn infants’ health to determine if they required medical intervention. The score included five separate categories, including heart rate, breathing rate, reaction to stimuli, muscle activity, and color. An infant received a score from zero to two in each category, and those scores added up to the infant’s total score out of ten. An infant with a score of ten was healthy, and those with low scores required medical attention at birth. Apgar originally used the score to determine how infants responded to the pain-relieving drugs given to pregnant women during labor. But it also served to determine when the infant required medical assistance, especially oxygen resuscitation. As of 2016, nearly every hospital in the world uses an updated Apgar score to evaluate the health of newborn infants. The Apgar score has allowed for medical personnel to evaluate an infant directly after birth on an objective scale to determine whether that infant could benefit from possibly life-saving medical intervention.
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