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Smith worked as a biochemist at the Fearing Research Laboratory in the Free Hospital for Women [8] in Boston, Massachusetts. Her husband worked as a gynecologist and director of the same hospital. Together, Smith and her husband spent much of their careers studying the hormonal cycles of women, particularly during pregnancy [6]. The 1948, ?Diethylstilbestrol in the Prevention and Treatment of Complications of Pregnancy? presents the culmination of Smith?s work from the previous ten years. Though only Smith authors the article, she refers to the study as the work of both her and her husband, often using their last name to refer to their work together.

The article starts with an acknowledgement of the 117 obstetricians that followed the requirements of the study laid out by Smith and her husband. Smith next explains the rationale of DES use in pregnancy [6] and the dosage given to the 632 women in the study. Smith then goes through the clinical results of the study. She discusses the results of DES on pregnant women with diabetes or high blood pressure, women who are at risk for spontaneous abortion [9] due to severe bleeding, and women with a previous history of abortions, infertility [10], premature delivery, or surgery on reproductive anatomy.

During the menstrual cycle of women, several hormones [11] act in the body and can be measured in varying levels depending on the time in the cycle. During ovulation [12] when eggs are produced, progesterone [4] levels increase. Once a fertilized egg [13] implants in the uterus [14], the resulting embryo produces human chorionic gonadotropin [15]. Human chorionic gonadotropin [16] maintains the corpus luteum [17], a structure in the ovary [18] that secretes progesterone [4] during pregnancy [6]. Progesterone regulates the conditions in the uterus [14], thickening of the uterine lining called the endometrium [19]. The lining contains blood vessels that deliver nutrients to the developing embryo. If there are deficient amounts of progesterone [4] secreted during the early stages of pregnancy [6], the uterine lining remains thin and
unsupportive for development which may lead to miscarriage [7] and other pregnancy [6] complications.

In the beginning of the article, Smith details the findings that led her and her husband to conclude that diethylstilbestrol may be an effective treatment to prevent pregnancy [6] complications like miscarriage [7]. In 1936, Smith and her husband noted that compared to women who were not pregnant, the urine of pregnant women had increased levels of estrogen [20] and decreased levels of chorionic gonadotropin [16] at the tenth week of pregnancy [6]. They hypothesized that increased levels of estrogen [20] in pregnant women enabled the body to more effectively use chorionic gonadotropin [16]. If the bodies of pregnant women effectively used chorionic gonadotropin [16], then the corpus luteum [17] would be better maintained and more progesterone [4] would be secreted, creating a better environment for the fetus [21] in the womb [22].


After explaining the motivation of the study, Smith presents the dosage regimen used in the study. Smith and her husband recommended that all women in the study take five milligrams of DES per day starting in the sixth week of pregnancy [6], increasing the dosage by five milligrams every two weeks until the fifteenth week, when the women would take twenty-five milligrams of DES per day. Between the fifteenth and thirty-fifth weeks, the dosage would increase five milligrams every week, until the thirty-fifth week when the women would take 125 milligrams of DES per day. One hundred and twenty-five milligrams is approximately a grape-sized portion of DES. At the end of the thirty-fifth week, women stopped taking DES to mimic the natural drop in hormone [5] levels prior to delivery.

In the next section of the article, Smith details the results of the administration of DES for the prevention of miscarriage [7]. Often, bleeding during pregnancy [6] comes before a miscarriage [7]. Smith used DES to treat two hundred and nineteen women with abnormal bleeding, which may have been precursors to miscarriages, between the sixth and twenty-first weeks of pregnancy [6]. Smith reported that seventy-two percent of those pregnancies resulted in healthy infants. In order to show those births as a significant increase in the number of healthy births in women at risk for miscarriages, Smith refers to a study by pathologists Arthur T. Hertig and Robert G. Livingstone. Hertig and Livingstone who worked in Boston, Massachusetts reported in 1944 that forty percent of all cases of abnormal bleeding without treatment resulted in pregnancies carried to term. Smith reported that if women who had bled abnormally received DES according to her prescribed regimen, then they delivered newborns at a higher rate when compared to no treatment as well as other treatment methods. Thus, Smith concludes not only that DES significantly improves that ability of a pregnant woman to carry a child to term, but also that it is more effective than other treatments.
In the next several sections of the article, Smith discusses the use of DES to prevent pregnancy complications in women with histories of infertility, miscarriages, premature deliveries, high blood pressures, diabetes, and complications of late pregnancy like stillbirth. Two hundred and seventy-two women were treated with DES on the basis of a history of infertility, abortions, and surgical intervention in the reproductive organs. Of those 272, seventy-eight percent had healthy newborns.

Smith notes that the women she treated had histories of miscarriages. Women with histories of miscarriages have a higher risk of miscarrying again. Hence, if DES prevents a miscarriage in a woman with a history of previous miscarriages, the success lends further credence to the use of DES to prevent miscarriage. Smith cites a 1938 study by physician Percy Malpas who worked in England, where he reported that women who had two consecutive miscarriages had a sixty-two percent chance of carrying their next pregnancy to term, and as the number of previous miscarriages increased, the chance of carrying a child to term decreased. A woman with three previous miscarriages had a twenty-seven percent chance of carrying a fourth pregnancy to term, according to Malpas. However, under Smith’s dosage with DES, eighty-seven percent of women with three previous miscarriages carried their fourth pregnancy to term. Smith also notes that if pregnant women receive DES treatment during attempts at conception, then that may stimulate progesterone secretion and create a healthier uterine environment.

Halfway through the article, Smith discusses the risks of DES over-dosage, though she discusses those risks as theoretical. Smith states several then-accepted facts about hormones. First, in pregnant rodents given high levels of estrogens, the rodent fetuses die. Second, extended treatment with hormones often permanently damages the secretory activity of organs in the body. However, Smith dismisses those risks for her DES treatments due to the fact that the dosage level with DES never exceeded the natural amount of hormone during pregnancy. Though she does note that in the twenty-eight patients given higher levels of DES than recommended by Smith, fifty-four percent suffered from increased pregnancy complications. Smith concludes that overdoses with DES could result in negative consequences and should be avoided. She also says that 1.4 percent of the 632 women treated with DES suffered side effects like nausea, headaches, and lethargy. However, in five of the nine women who complained of those symptoms, if they continued treatment with DES, the symptoms disappeared. Many women reported that they felt better taking the DES.

Smith concludes that DES is an effective treatment for pregnancy complication and risk of early miscarriage. She states that DES should not be used to treat symptoms later in the pregnancy and that administration with DES must start early in the pregnancy.

Later in 1949, Smith and her husband published two further articles regarding the use of DES to treat pregnancy complications. On the basis of those studies, physicians in the US and much of Europe began prescribing DES to millions of women at risk for, or with a history of, pregnancy complications.

In 1953, a group of physicians led by William J. Dieckmann at the University of Chicago in Chicago, Illinois, reported that administration of DES during pregnancy had no effect on the prevention of pregnancy complications. Additionally, in 1970 also at the University of Chicago, gynecologist Arthur L. Herbst and pathologist Robert E. Scully reported the increased rate of a rare vaginal cancer, adenocarcinoma of the vagina, in young women.
exposed to DES during their embryonic development. Along with many other studies, that finding spurred the US Food and Drug Administration [29] in Silver Spring, Maryland to ban the use of DES during pregnancy [6] in 1971. After 1971, studies showed that DES causes multiple cancers and reproductive abnormalities in the male and female children of individuals who took DES while pregnant, as well as reproductive abnormalities in the grandchildren of those individuals. Researchers labelled DES as an endocrine disruptor, a substance that disrupts the hormone [5] system of the body causing changes in development. Those changes can affect multiple generations, as in the case of DES.
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