

## Chemical Induction <sup>[1]</sup>

By: Navis, Adam R. Keywords: Differentiation <sup>[2]</sup> Induction <sup>[3]</sup>

Research in chemical [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup> seeks to identify the compound or compounds responsible for [differentiation](#) <sup>[6]</sup> in a developing embryo. [Soren Lovtrup](#) <sup>[7]</sup> compared the search for these compounds to the search for the philosopher's stone. It was based on the assumption that the differentiating agents have to be chemical substances either within cells or in the extracellular matrix. However, despite numerous efforts to understand them, the nature of these substances remained largely a mystery from the 1930s until the 1980s, when the new era of molecular [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup> based on molecular genetics provided a new perspective. During the period of emphasis on chemical [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup>, a variety of different experiments were conducted aimed at discovering the chemical nature of the inducer. In some experiments, the [organizer](#) <sup>[8]</sup> region was killed by heat to assess the inducing ability of a dead [organizer](#) <sup>[8]</sup>. Other experiments used natural and synthetic compounds to attempt [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup>. Although none of these experiments identified a chemical inducer with any certainty, they did discover many related properties of the developing embryo.

The era of chemical [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup> began with experiments on the dead [organizer](#) <sup>[8]</sup>. These experiments removed the [organizer](#) <sup>[8]</sup> region from an embryo, killed it by boiling or other means, and then tested the capability for [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup>. Experiments by [Johannes Holtfreter](#) <sup>[9]</sup> showed that [notochord](#) <sup>[10]</sup>, killed [organizer](#) <sup>[8]</sup>, and killed [endoderm](#) <sup>[11]</sup> were all capable of inducing neural tissue in the [ectoderm](#) <sup>[12]</sup>. These experiments with dead [organizer](#) <sup>[8]</sup> tissue strongly suggested that some chemical must be responsible for [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup>, since the inducing tissue had been otherwise inactivated.

In the 1930s Conrad H. Waddington and [Joseph Needham](#) <sup>[13]</sup> collaborated to identify the chemicals responsible for [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup> in a series of experiments on the amphibian [organizer](#) <sup>[8]</sup>. They focused their work on ether soluble embryo extracts. These extracts were shown to induce general neural tissue in the [ectoderm](#) <sup>[12]</sup> on the [amphibians](#) <sup>[14]</sup>, for which Waddington coined the term "evocator." He used evocator to describe a one-way [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup> event leading to tissue [differentiation](#) <sup>[6]</sup>. Since the evocator Waddington and Needham discovered was ether soluble, it was considered to be a sterol, a class of molecules that includes many [hormones](#) <sup>[15]</sup> related to later development.

Sterols were not the only proposed evocator. Else Wehmeier's experiments seemed to show that various acids, including nucleic and oleic acids, were capable of [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup>. Experiments conducted by L. G. Barth showed that protein might be the active compound. Even a dye, methylene blue, seemed to have inducing potential. These experiments demonstrated that none of the identified compounds were solely responsible for [induction](#) <sup>[5]</sup>. In fact, many of these experimental procedures killed the cells and released their contents, which generated an over-abundance of potential candidate molecules. From this information Waddington proposed that all cells, not just the [organizer](#) <sup>[8]</sup> cells, contained evocators. He hypothesized that the evocators were released upon the death and lysis of the cell.

Further support for a diffusible [induction](#) [5] signal came from experiments by [Lauri Saxen](#) [16] in 1961. He was able to induce neural tissue in [ectoderm](#) [12] through an extremely fine filter. A decade later, [Sulo Toivonen](#) [17] showed that [induction](#) [5] could occur while the [mesoderm](#) [18] and [ectoderm](#) [12] were not in direct contact through the filter by examination with an [electron microscope](#) [19]. This demonstrated the ability of the evocator to act remotely as a diffusible substance.

Research into chemical [induction](#) [5] gave way to new approaches within molecular biology in the 1980s. As early as 1947, [Jacques Monod](#) [20] attempted to redefine the inducer in the terms of molecular biology. His work on ?adaptive? or inducible enzymes suggested the possibility of genetic control of the active compounds in development. In 1962, after the model of the lac operon was proposed, even Waddington redefined evocator in terms of genetic [regulation](#) [21]. The lac operon provided a model of genetic [regulation](#) [21], which activated and deactivated [genes](#) [22] based on environmental interactions.

The paradigms of chemical [induction](#) [5] remained in force for nearly half a century before it was reframed within molecular biology. Compounds of many types were identified to be potential organizers. Ether soluble compounds, many acids, a dye, and many others were identified as possible inducers. As molecular biology would later discover, the proteins responsible for [induction](#) [5] were in too small a quantity for any of the classic purification methods to identify. This could have been a contaminant in many of the experiments, and released by the killed cells in the other experiments. The search for a chemical inducer did not uncover the responsible compound, but it did elucidate many chemical and other aspects of the process of development.

## Sources

1. Gilbert, Scott F. ?Continuity and Change: Paradigm Shifts in Neural Induction.? *The International Journal of [Developmental Biology](#)* [23] 45 (2001): 155?64.  
<http://www.ijdb.ehu.es/web/paper.php?doi=11291843> [24] (Accessed November 10, 2014).
2. Needham, Joseph. *Biochemistry and [Morphogenesis](#)* [25]. Cambridge: [Cambridge University](#) [26] Press, 1950.

Research in chemical induction seeks to identify the compound or compounds responsible for differentiation in a developing embryo. Soren Lovtrup compared the search for these compounds to the search for the philosopher's stone. It was based on the assumption that the differentiating agents have to be chemical substances either within cells or in the extracellular matrix. However, despite numerous efforts to understand them, the nature of these substances remained largely a mystery from the 1930s until the 1980s, when the new era of molecular induction based on molecular genetics provided a new perspective. During the period of emphasis on chemical induction, a variety of different experiments were conducted aimed at discovering the chemical nature of the inducer. In some experiments, the organizer region was killed by heat to assess the inducing ability of a dead organizer. Other experiments used natural and synthetic compounds to attempt. Although none of these experiments identified a chemical inducer with any certainty, they did discover many related properties of the developing embryo.

## Subject

Cell differentiation <sup>[27]</sup> Embryos <sup>[28]</sup> Developmental biology <sup>[29]</sup> Notochord <sup>[30]</sup> Waddington, C. H. (Conrad Hal), 1905-1975 <sup>[31]</sup> Needham, Joseph, 1900-1995 <sup>[32]</sup> Molecular biology <sup>[33]</sup> Genetic regulation <sup>[34]</sup> Embryonic Induction <sup>[35]</sup>

## Topic

Processes <sup>[36]</sup>

## Publisher

Arizona State University. School of Life Sciences. Center for Biology and Society. Embryo Project Encyclopedia.

## Rights

© Arizona Board of Regents Licensed as Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0) <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

## Format

Articles <sup>[37]</sup>

## Last Modified

Wednesday, July 4, 2018 - 04:40

## DC Date Accessioned

Thursday, May 10, 2012 - 13:10

## DC Date Available

Thursday, May 10, 2012 - 13:10

## DC Date Created

2007-10-30

## DC Date Created Standard

Tuesday, October 30, 2007 - 07:00

- Contact Us

© 2018 Arizona Board of Regents

- The Embryo Project at Arizona State University, 1711 South Rural Road, Tempe  
Arizona 85287, United States

---

**Source URL:** <https://embryo.asu.edu/pages/chemical-induction>

### Links:

- [1] <https://embryo.asu.edu/pages/chemical-induction>
- [2] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/differentiation>
- [3] <https://embryo.asu.edu/keywords/induction>
- [4] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Chemical%20Induction>
- [5] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=induction>
- [6] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=differentiation>
- [7] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Soren%20Lovtrup>
- [8] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=organizer>
- [9] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Johannes%20Holtfreter>
- [10] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=notochord>
- [11] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=endoderm>
- [12] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=ectoderm>
- [13] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Joseph%20Needham>
- [14] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=amphibians>
- [15] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=hormones>
- [16] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Lauri%20Saxen>
- [17] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Sulo%20Toivonen>
- [18] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=mesoderm>
- [19] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=electron%20microscope>
- [20] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Jacques%20Monod>
- [21] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=regulation>
- [22] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=genes>
- [23] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Developmental%20Biology>
- [24] <http://www.ijdb.ehu.es/web/paper.php?doi=11291843>
- [25] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Morphogenesis>
- [26] <https://embryo.asu.edu/search?text=Cambridge%20University>
- [27] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/cell-differentiation>
- [28] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/embryos>
- [29] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/developmental-biology>
- [30] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/notochord>
- [31] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/waddington-c-h-conrad-hal-1905-1975>
- [32] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/needham-joseph-1900-1995>
- [33] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/molecular-biology>

[34] <https://embryo.asu.edu/library-congress-subject-headings/genetic-regulation>

[35] <https://embryo.asu.edu/medical-subject-headings/embryonic-induction>

[36] <https://embryo.asu.edu/topics/processes>

[37] <https://embryo.asu.edu/formats/articles>